

Report #2: FY 2005 IHCIF - \$11,093,710 Allocated by the Same 2 Tier Formula Adopted in 2003									
Tier 1: \$5,546,855 for units scoring < 40%; Tier 2: \$5,546,855 for units scoring 40% - 60%. Tier 3: 0\$ for units scoring > 60%									
AREA	OPERATING UNIT	FY 04 Users	FY 2004 LNF % Score	FY 2005 TIER 1 <40%	FY 2005 TIER 2 40%-60%	FY 2005 TIER 3 60%-100%	FY 2005 Allocation	Allocation Per User	REVISED LNF SCORE
California	Cabazon	3	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or more
California	Central Valley	5,904	44%	\$0	\$44,287	\$0	\$44,287	\$8	44%
California	Chapa De	3,814	47%	\$0	\$26,407	\$0	\$26,407	\$7	47%
California	Colusa	111	67%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	67%
California	Consolidated	2,138	49%	\$0	\$12,970	\$0	\$12,970	\$6	49%
California	Feather River	3,343	46%	\$0	\$23,610	\$0	\$23,610	\$7	46%
California	Greenville	1,181	44%	\$0	\$10,309	\$0	\$10,309	\$9	44%
California	Hoopla	3,214	59%	\$0	\$1,171	\$0	\$1,171	\$0	59%
California	Indian Health Council	4,611	63%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	63%
California	Karuk	1,872	59%	\$0	\$1,367	\$0	\$1,367	\$1	59%
California	Lake County	1,618	45%	\$0	\$13,167	\$0	\$13,167	\$8	45%
California	Modoc	215	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or more
California	Northern Valley	1,666	47%	\$0	\$11,538	\$0	\$11,538	\$7	47%
California	Pit River	866	73%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	73%
California	Quartz Valley	137	56%	\$0	\$256	\$0	\$256	\$2	57%
California	Redding Rancheria	4,035	63%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	63%
California	Riverside/San Bernardino	11,932	62%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	62%
California	Round Valley	1,146	61%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	61%
California	Santa Ynez	738	42%	\$0	\$7,855	\$0	\$7,855	\$11	43%
California	Southern Indian Health Council	2,504	72%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	72%
California	Susanville	965	54%	\$0	\$3,310	\$0	\$3,310	\$3	54%
California	Sycuan	82	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or more
California	Table Mountain	11	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or more
California	Toiyabe	2,810	53%	\$0	\$9,926	\$0	\$9,926	\$4	53%
California	Tule River	2,563	58%	\$0	\$2,145	\$0	\$2,145	\$1	58%
California	CHRI - Shingle Springs	866	45%	\$0	\$7,651	\$0	\$7,651	\$9	45%
California	CHRI - Sonoma County	4,028	53%	\$0	\$14,595	\$0	\$14,595	\$4	53%
California	CHRI - MACT	2,160	55%	\$0	\$5,451	\$0	\$5,451	\$3	55%
California	CHRI - United Indian Health Services	6,556	50%	\$0	\$29,774	\$0	\$29,774	\$5	51%
California	CHRI - Warner Mountain	127	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or more
California	Cold Springs Tribal Council	160	35%	\$11,443	\$1,773	\$0	\$13,216	\$83	38%
California	Coyote Valley Tribal Council	123	64%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	64%
California	Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	250	36%	\$14,686	\$2,770	\$0	\$17,457	\$70	38%
California	Lytton Rancheria	116	36%	\$6,440	\$1,285	\$0	\$7,725	\$67	38%
California	Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians	43	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or more

Report #2: FY 2005 IHCIF - \$11,093,710 Allocated by the Same 2 Tier Formula Adopted in 2003									
Tier 1: \$5,546,855 for units scoring < 40%; Tier 2: \$5,546,855 for units scoring 40% - 60%. Tier 3: 0\$ for units scoring > 60%									
AREA	OPERATING UNIT	FY 04 Users	FY 2004 LNF % Score	FY 2005 TIER 1 <40%	FY 2005 TIER 2 40%-60%	FY 2005 TIER 3 60%-100%	FY 2005 Allocation	Allocation Per User	REVISED LNF SCORE
California	Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians	249	36%	\$12,898	\$2,759	\$0	\$15,657	\$63	38%
California	Pinoleville Band of Pomo Indians	99	35%	\$6,857	\$1,097	\$0	\$7,954	\$80	38%
California	Guidiville Indian Rancheria	61	92%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	92%
California	Graton	307	33%	\$32,298	\$3,596	\$0	\$35,894	\$117	37%
California	Mewok	183	35%	\$13,235	\$2,028	\$0	\$15,263	\$83	38%
<b>California Total</b>		72,808		\$97,858	\$241,099	\$0	\$338,956		